

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/616,313	GAUNA, KEVIN WAYNE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thuy V. Tran	2821	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Thuy V. Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Mr. Joseph R. Milner (REg. No. 42,896).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 February 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

18-21

Prior art documents discussed:

none


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/USPTO Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was made to discuss about the corrections to be made to claims 18-21 to improve antecedent basis and to clearly define the claimed invention, resulting in an authorized Examiner's Amendment including:

Claim 18, line 7, change "incandescent lamp" to --A-lamp-- ;

Claim 18, line 8, change "incandescent lamp" to --A-lamp-- ;

Claim 19, line 2, delete "further";

Claim 20, line 2, delete "further"; and

Claim 21, line 2, delete "further"..